

Chelford Parish Council
Neighbourhood Plan
Regulation 14 Consultation

30th October, 2018 - 11th December, 2018

Feedback Response Form

About you:	
Please complete either section A or section B	
Section A: Individual Responses	Section B: Organisation Responses
What is your postcode? (Mandatory) SK11 9SL	Organisation Name: (Mandatory)
	Organisation Postcode: (Mandatory)
	Type of Organisation: (Mandatory)

General Comments:	
I am generally in favour of the Plan Agree <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Disagree <input type="checkbox"/>	I would like to see changes to the Plan Agree <input type="checkbox"/> Disagree <input type="checkbox"/>
Comments on the Plan overall:	

Specific Comments:		
Policy Number	Do you agree? (delete as appropriate)	Comments / suggested changes - please be as clear and concise as possible
Housing	Policy HP1: Housing Development and Scale	YES / NO WITH TWO DEVELOPMENTS UNDERWAY ACCOMODATING C 200 HOMES WE MUST FIGHT TO PREVENT ANY MORE DEVELOPMENTS PARTICULARLY AS THE GREEN BELT SITE BETWEEN THE RAILWAY AND VILLAGE HALL.
	Policy HP2: Housing Mix	YES / NO
	Policy HP3: Housing Design	YES / NO

The Natural Environment	Policy NE1: Biodiversity	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	
	Policy NE2: Landscape	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	
	Policy NE3: Trees and Hedgerows	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	
The Green Infrastructure	Policy GI1: Green Space	YES / <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	I UNDERSTAND "GREEN BELT" LAND HAS FOUR CLASSIFICATIONS 1-4. 3+4 CLASSIFICATIONS HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED BY CHESHIRE EAST AS POTENTIAL LANDS FOR "SAFEGUARDING"
	Policy GI2: Open and Recreational Space	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	I UNDERSTAND THE GREENBELT BETWEEN THE RAILWAY AND VILLAGE HALL IS CLASSIFIED AS CATEGORY TWO. WHY DO WE NOT OBJECT IN THE STRONGEST POSSIBLE TERMS IN THIS REGARD?
	Policy GI3: Public Rights of Way and Footpaths	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	
Community Infrastructure and the Local Economy	Policy CI1: Community Facilities and Amenities	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / <input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	WITH THE TWO DEVELOPMENTS BEING UNDERTAKEN WE MUST IDENTIFY AND PROVIDE SERVICES FOR THE COMMUNITY. EG POST OFFICE - BANK - NEWSAGENTS - RETAIL UNITS. IT SEEMS CRAZY THAT
	Policy CI2: Heritage Assets	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	WITH AN INCREASE IN HOUSING STOCK OF 40%+ WE ARE LOSING NECESSARY SERVICES.
	Policy LE1: Local Economy	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	
	Policy DI1: Digital Infrastructure	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	
Transport	Policy T1: Transport	<input checked="" type="radio"/> YES / NO	

OVERALL I APPRECIATE THE WORK BEING UNDERTAKEN
BY THE PARISH COUNCIL WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE
TO THE "HOUSING STOCK" ISSUE

NOTWITHSTANDING I FEEL WE AS A COMMUNITY ARE
BEING DICTATED TO BY CHESHIRE EAST COUNCIL.
SEE HEADING "POLICY 611 GREEN SPACE" AND MY
COMMENTS.

WHEN I HEAR GOOSTREY HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED FOR 16
PROPERTIES AND BOLLINGTON NONE I ANNOYS ME, AS
WE ALREADY HAVE C 200 HOUSES UNDER CONSTRUCTION.
DID THEIR PARISH COUNCILS TAKE A HARDER LINE
THAN CHELFORD.

SHOULD WE AS A COMMUNITY STAND UP TO CHESHIRE
EAST IN A MORE AGGRESSIVE MANNER AND NOT JUST
~~ACCEPT THEIR DICTATES TO US AND BE BOWED TO BY THEM.~~

SHOULD WE CONSIDER HAVING A MEMBER OF PLANNING
FROM CHESHIRE EAST ATTEND A FUTURE MEETING SO THAT
HE OR HER CAN HEAR OUR GRIEVANCES FIRST HAND.